



1
2
3 **INTEGRATION OF CARE COMMITTEE**

4 May 28, 2008

5 Public Health Solutions, 40 Worth Street, 5th floor conference room

6 9:30 am-11:30 am

7
8 **DRAFT MINUTES**

9
10 **Members Present:** Felicia Carroll, Joan Edwards, Ivy Gamble-Cobb (Chair), Maria
11 Gbur, MD, Elaine Greeley, Deborah Greene, Roberta Greengold, Geraldine Joseph (alt.
12 for Theresa Mack, MD, MPH), Peter Laqueur, Fabienne Laraque, MD, MPH, Jun
13 Matsuyoshi, Gonzalo Mercado, Carline Numa, Jan Carl Park, Terry Troia (alt. for Brent
14 Backofen), Ed Viera, Jr.

15
16 **NYC DOHMH Staff Present:** Rafael Molina, Nina Rothschild, DrPH, Anthony
17 Santella, DrPH, Monica Sweeney, MD, MPH, Darryl Wong

18
19 **Materials Distributed:** The materials distributed included the agenda; the minutes from
20 the previous IOC meeting on April 15, 2008; and a transportation proposal.

21
22 **Welcome and Introductions:** Ivy Gamble-Cobb welcomed everyone. Members
23 introduced themselves.

24
25 **Review of Minutes from the April 15, 2008 Meeting:** The minutes from the previous
26 IOC meeting were accepted.

27
28 **Review of Meeting Materials:** Dr. Rothschild reviewed the contents of the meeting
29 packet.

30
31 **Choices in Care Study:** Jan Carl Park introduced Dr. Bruce Rapkin of Memorial Sloan-
32 Kettering Cancer Center and also noted the presence of Ira Feldman of the New York
33 State AIDS Institute. Mr. Park stated that the presentation addresses a lot of issues
34 addressed by the Integration of Care Committee regarding a comprehensive care model
35 for PLWHA in New York City.

36
37 Dr. Rapkin has been in the field with this evaluation of Special Needs Plans (SNP) since
38 2003, collecting data on representative sample of HIV-infected individuals who are
39 enrolled either in a Special Needs Plan (SNP) or a Fee for Service (FFS) plan. The
40 interviews were designed to evaluate a program created to address multiple needs while
41 being sensitive to issues of trust, class, and race. The researchers monitored patient
42 outcomes, defined barriers and needs, offered feedback to providers, used their work to

1 formulate and monitor quality improvement efforts, and provided information to
2 consumers. They looked at enrollment in order to understand what influences some
3 people to join a SNP and whether these individuals understand the nature of the program
4 in which they are enrolling; examined whether patients enrolled in SNPs are better able to
5 reduce their risky behavior; and examined patients' experiences in care, bearing in mind
6 that the data being collected is subjective.

7
8 The research was designed to examine discrete episodes in care. The investigators asked
9 people to define their problems; asked about subjects' help-seeking behaviors -- were
10 they looking for care? -- and asked about barriers to seeking care such as stigma, denial,
11 and fear. The study employed a longitudinal design and was intended to reflect patients'
12 experiences prior to entering the SNP and during their time in the SNP and to look at the
13 process of change over time.

14
15 The study had a 90%-95% retention rate from wave to wave, and over the course of the
16 year the researchers held on to 75% of the sample. In the data presented by Dr. Rapkin to
17 the IOC, 265 patients participated in a SNP and 302 participated in FFS care. SNP
18 patients averaged 44 years of age; 54% were African American; 38% were Latino; 61%
19 were high school graduates; and 17% were unstably housed. FFS patients averaged 46
20 years; 62% were African American; 33% were Latino; 68% were high school graduates;
21 and 11% were unstably housed. A large proportion of all patients had a history of drug
22 use, and roughly one-half had a history of interaction with the criminal justice system.
23 Roughly 35% had an issue with treatment adherence, and approximately 35% had other
24 medical problems.

25
26 SNP clients were slightly more likely to be looking for help, had more informational
27 needs, and were more likely to receive a referral from a primary care provider. Among
28 the SNP clients, 77% had gone to a DAC, 39% had gone to an HHC facility, and 37%
29 experienced any barriers (such as language difficulties, transportation, accessibility,
30 inconvenient hours, and costs); among the FFS clients, 74% had gone to a DAC, 21% had
31 gone to an HHC facility, and 31% had experienced any barriers. Overall, SNP patients
32 had a better experience in care, but that better experience did not translate to differences
33 in satisfaction: about 20% of both groups stated that they were less than fully satisfied.
34 SNP clients, however, were slightly more optimistic about having their problems solved
35 and had greater success with adherence.

36
37 In summary, the researchers learned that SNP enrollees had a greater need for specialty
38 care, were more likely to be seeking help, encountered fewer barriers, were more likely to
39 receive referrals, and had more positive communication.

40
41 Methodological challenges included difficulty in obtaining the sample; difficulties
42 associated with investigating needs, which are a moving target, in a diverse population;
43 the difficulty in investigating the SNP programs which also evolving over time; and the
44 problems of investigating subjective experiences. The researchers did not engage in chart
45 review and, therefore, did not obtain data on actual health outcomes. Generally,
46 however, SNP clients are comparable to FFS patients and have similar outcomes.

1 **Comprehensive Case Management for HIV in NYC: Transportation Services:** Ms.
2 Gamble-Cobb noted that the IOC Committee approved the integrated model of care at its
3 last meeting. At today's meeting, the Committee is charged with examining
4 transportation services. Although the Planning Council currently allocates money to a
5 centralized transportation contractor to provide services via a sole source contract, IOC is
6 contemplating folding transportation into case management in the integrated model of
7 care. If the transportation proposal is approved, patients will be able to use Access-A-
8 Ride or car services or whatever transportation mode best suits their needs. The money
9 will be proportionately allocated to the agencies with case management contracts.

10
11 Mr. Laqueur noted that transportation services started in the days when car services
12 would not transport people with AIDS. He suggested looking at the proposal in the
13 context of changes in the epidemic and noted that the time of a centralized transportation
14 system may be past.

15
16 Ms. Joseph asked why transportation is currently sole-sourced, to which Ms. Gamble-
17 Cobb responded that DOHMH uses a sole source because of the limited number of
18 contractors.

19
20 Mr. Viera asked where the Ryan White money for transportation actually goes; he wanted
21 to be sure that the money actually goes to transportation services, not to administration.

22 Ms. Gamble-Cobb noted that when the RFP is released, organizations applying for
23 funding will have to show that the money will go to its intended destination, and the
24 master contractor is responsible for ensuring that the money is spent correctly. Under a
25 fee-for-service system, the money will go to providers who spend their grants well. Dr.
26 Laraque noted that DOHMH will have a database which will facilitate the monitoring of
27 services.

28
29 According to data from Public Health Solutions, approximately \$4370 is currently being
30 spent per client on transportation services at a cost of \$71 per trip.

31
32 Mr. Laqueur asked why, according to data prepared by NYC DOHMH for the meeting,
33 services are used more in the Bronx than in other boroughs, and he also asked whether a
34 reconfigured system would be able to meet the needs of clients in the Bronx. The
35 probable explanation is that the Bronx is very hilly and there are long distances between
36 subway lines.

37
38 Ms. Carroll stated that she would like the transportation category to continue to operate
39 under the current model, rather than being folded into case management. Ms. Troia, who
40 operates the current sole source of transportation, stated that centralization provides
41 leverage with costs, because the provider can negotiate with different car companies
42 more efficiently.

43
44 A proposal was made to fold transportation (currently a stand-alone category) into an
45 integrated model of care. All present voted in favor of this proposal, with three
46 abstentions (one for cause).

1 Ms. Gamble-Cobb reminded IOC members of their primary goal -- to maintain PLWHAs
2 in care – and noted that we may have to shift resources in order to create a
3 comprehensive system of care in the City.

4
5 **Public Comment:** Ms. Troia noted that both the volume of clients and the demand for
6 services may increase.

7
8 Mr. Wong noted that new member applications for the Planning Council are available on
9 the website at nyhiv.org.

10
11 **Next Meeting:** The Integration of Care Committee will meet again on June 24th from
12 3:30-5:00.

DRAFT