



Meeting of the
Priority Setting & Resource Allocation Committee
Hilda Mateo & Eli Camhi LMSW, Co-Chairs

Friday, February 8, 9:30–11:30 AM
GMHC Room 415 (119 West 24th Street)

Members Present: Eli Camhi LMSW (Co-Chair), Hilda Mateo (Co-Chair), Felicia Carroll, Linda Fraser, Steve Hemraj, JoAnn Hilger, Patrick McGovern, Darryl Ng (*alt. for Ron Johnson*), Jan Carl Park MPA, Tom Petro, Joshua Sippen LCSW

Members Not Present: Kenneth Ashley, B. Agins MD, S.J. Avery, Humberto Cruz, Daliah Heller, Walter Okoroanyanwu MD MPH, Joe Pressley, Paul Stabile, Edward Telzak MD Rev. Terry Troia

OAPC Staff Present: Steve Bailous, Ingrid Gonzalez, David Klotz, Rafael Molina, Robert Shiao, Clarissa Silva MSW, Darryl Wong MPH

MHRA Staff Present: Bettina Carroll, Gucci Kaloo, Rachel Miller, Ryan Rasmussen

Materials Distributed:

- February 8, 2006 Meeting Agenda
- January 26, 2006 Meeting Minutes
- FY 16 Spending Plan Proposed Scenario Plans
- March 2006 Calendar

Welcome/Introductions

Eli Camhi opened the meeting and introductions were made. The contents of the meeting packet were reviewed. Minutes from the January 26, 2006 meeting were reviewed and approved with minor changes. Mr. Sippen noted that the January 26, 2006 minutes reflect that there is no decision on housing TA.

Scenario Planning for Year 16

Committee members again reviewed the FY 16 Spending Plan and the scenarios developed by committee members and staff. The three proposed scenario plans were based upon guidance provided by the PS&RA Committee. The committee agreed to build upon the process approved in the last planning cycle. The committee reviewed and discussed the proposed scenario plans and several discussions occurred:

Scenario 1 (Formula based on rank: **100%-EMA Score/Maximum EMA Score**): This scenario proposes a reduction for all categories, but proportionate based on rank. In this way, spares bottom categories from being eliminated, but can be heavily reduced (e.g., 60%).

Scenario 2 (Ng scenario): This scenario proposes a distributed reduction throughout all categories. This proposal maintains and builds on what is already decided by the committee: first eliminate TA.

Multiple concerns occurred around operationalizing scenario 2: the burden on the grantee/MHRA employing this method (length of time for any close-outs) and the perception to HRSA that we don't value core services.

Scenario #3 (Hybrid of scenarios 1 and 2): This proposes using Scenario 1 formula but a different factor depending on reduction to the award, so that there are no extreme cuts to a category while preserving the highest categories. The greater factor you use, the smaller the reductions. The committee would need to pick a threshold up to which category is reduced.

After careful consideration of each of the scenarios, the committee drafted the following motion:

Motion: We will delay distribution of unobligated funds from money reallocated last year before using hybrid formula. Passed unanimously.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned.

I. Actions/Decisions

- | |
|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The next meeting will be Wednesday, March 15, 2006 9:30 AM -11:30 AM, GMHC, Room 230. |
|---|