

- Possibility to do some sort of task sharing – where psychiatrists supervise the social workers who provide direct client services
- Should the forum discuss COVID-19 and it's impact?
- How do we improve access to psychiatry?
- Need for TA in RW so that providers are clear that their agencies do have an MOU with a mental health provider
- No cohesive response for agencies to implement when a client is in crisis: Establish best practices and mandate the submission of a plan
- Navigators versus health home: benefit of accompaniment – can advocate for clients who are having trouble with routine service visits
- Psychiatric nurses could help alleviate the pressure on psychiatrists
- The waiting period – moving the wait for a psychiatrists from 3 months to a week
- Health home program – one deals directly with SMI – leverages already existing services in the community – need to hear more about health homes manage SMI
- Inclusion of mDOT in behavioral health services to provide medication support
- Would make sense to enhance the behavioral health care model with HIV care and prevention capacity
- *Mr. Harriman* noted that we can allocate 5% of the grant to training for providers
- Integration is super important. We have found that providers say this reduces stigma for PWH and it resonates with the CC's recommendations from their QI Project a few years ago that said mental health needs to be addressed at all visits.

Thinking about Behavioral Health Integration

Mr. Harriman discussed the need to bring together the services in the portfolio that support behavioral health and ensure that services are culturally humble. Must ensure all clients can be served – dearth of Spanish speaking services. Need to better integrate across systems – need to seamlessly provide services to a client. Fast tracking of referrals and access to services. Are clients constantly repeating extensive intakes – one provider created a seamless intake for all the funders. The RW intake should have the capacity to integrate into other systems.

PWH with SMI Provider Panel

The SMI subcommittee brought together providers from across the behavioral health service categories. Key themes:

- AOT incredibly difficult to access
- Additional navigation services
- Small providers should have free access to RHIOs and other data points that inform agencies when to ramp up services
- Low threshold services are incredibly important
- Not clear when clients are suspended from a Medicaid funded program – due to not showing up, etc – so doesn't necessarily trigger additional support from RW side to re-engage client
- Mandate to address stigma
- Integration of HIV with primary care is a powerful stigma reducer – less likely to be inadvertently outed, normalizes HIV
- Creating guidelines or standards for integration is useful – one clinic had all the providers rotate around the client – instead of the client going to different providers. This also improved communication between providers
- Need to talk to clients about their experience
- No show rates inhibit willingness to experiment
- Significance of SMI patients presenting in primary care settings
- Patients sometimes have ACT teams that are not coordinated with patients primary or behavioral health care.
- Need for funding support for case conferencing with psychiatry

1 •
2
3
4 *None*

Public Comment